4:Grodekov--84 E-5 (ca 1885) 1889 RQ2 Kazak RQ2

The custom of hetaeristic hospitality exists in secret in the north and in Siberia, but less in the south. In one of the volumes of the Materialy dlia statistiki Turkestanskago kraia, a custom is mentioned where a guest puts his hand on the bosom of a sleeping maiden three times, and if she does not push it away, it signifies her agreement. Bii Sultan Kanaev denied such a right of the guest, adding: "This is unthinkable" (ol suz imes).

A wife and children who have not received their allotment do not have any property. If the wife lives with her husband, she takes part with him in the use of the property (malyna sirik). But she cannot manage the property under her supervision (khatyn biyleb mal beralmeydi). In the absence of the husband she manages the property. For example, on the demand of her husband's friend (tamyr), if he is dependable (isenimdi) she gives him things for his use, or gives them to him as a gift. When the husband returns he may not give his consent, and then the gift is taken back. The same thing takes place with an object sold by the wife in the husband's absence, in accordance with the opinion that "women have half a mind" (khatynnyng akyly yarymdyk) and according to the proverb: "a woman is without a mind, a frog is without a tail" (khatyn akylsiz baka kuyruksyz).

Among the Kirgiz, spouses have common property (inchiles). Wergeld for a wife is not received by her husband, but by her clan. If she /94/ was wounded by someone, the fine for the wound, if the fine was called aibom, is received by her husband. But if this fine was recorded in the decision under the name of wergeld, then it is received by the kinsmen of the wife.

The wife who goes away from her husband does not take anything wiht her (according to Abdrakhman, of the Auliye-Ata uyezd). Maykut (Auliye-Ata uyezd) transmits the information that in antiquity like the Siberian indigenous peoples according to Samokvasov, the property of the childless deceased wife, consisting of her clothing, fur coat, rug, blanket, bridal headdress and kerchief, is returned to her father or the person who married her off with this dowry.

If a wife complains of the squandering or unprofitable exploitation of property by her heedless husband the bii does not accept such a suit in his examination, but sends her to the nearest aksakals, clan chiefs and authoritative kinsmen.

A wife may trade only with the agreement of her husband. A widow (tulkhatun) is not prevented from trading.

A wife appears in court: 1) on matters of offense by the husband, etc., 2) in place of minor children, in the absence of her husband or

descent which unite the Kazakhs as a people also divide them into aristocrat and commoner, noble and subject. There are three identifiable social classes, aristocrats, commoners, and slaves. (The slaves are an important class in traditional Kazakh society, but are not central to the discussion here.) At some point in history, the senior descent line segregated itself from the other lines and achieved aristocratic status. The head of the senior family of the senior descent line is the Khan of all the Kazakhs—so goes the theory of Kazakh kinship and social organization.

These aristocratic descent lines formed the ruling strata of the hordes, the clans, and of all Kazakhdom when they were united. From their ranks came the khans and lesser leaders (sultans, begs, and clan chiefs) by right of first-born descent. Hordes were ruled by descent lines, clans by aristocratic lineages.

The supreme ruler of the Kazakhs, when there was one, bore the title of Khan. Sultans in Central Asia are of lower rank, the equivalent of princes. The Kazakh domain, when split, divided into a number of principalities whose rulers were sultans, even though some of them dared to assign themselves the more grandiose title of khan. A sultan was rarely a sovereign or independent ruler. The begs and clan chiefs were sometimes identical, but the beg was a local leader whose region varied in scope; it might be no larger than a village or a group of villages, or it might be larger than a clan.

Kin villages and families likewise had their individual patriarchal leaders. The lineage, however, is a different type of organization. It did not have a chief or center of patriarchal authority unless it was small enough for all its members to live in one large kin village; yet it could be so large as to be identical in size with a clan, in which case it would be ruled by a clan leader. The primary function of the lineage was to serve as a marriage unit, the genealogies once again providing the basis for the practices adopted. Kazakh boys and girls could not marry if they had a common male ancestor in the seventh generation; with kinship in the eighth degree or higher marriage was permitted. The genealogy traced back to the seventh ascending male ancestor is the smallest lineage of the Kazakh. The lineage is a unit of varying scope, depending on the function involved; the lineage for marital purposes comprised seven generations, the genealogy memorized by an individual many times that.[1]

Each clan had a distinctive manner of marking the ears of or branding its livestock to differentiate the stock from that of other clans. However, the clan was not the property-owning unit. The clan leader might step in and make a decision regarding a pasturage or head of cattle in dispute between two villages, for the judicial authority rested ultimately in his hands; his decisions might appear biased in his favor, but he could not permanently dispose of pasturage or stock which was not his own, even though it bore the brand or earmark of the clan he led. Similarly, until collectivization, the village authority rested in the hands of a village elder who served as a judge, but rarely could the judge dispose of property which was not his own.[2]

[1]Grodekov, 1889, p. 27; Alkyasarin, 1870, p. 104.

[2][For footnote see cat. 116, f. 41 - ANALYST.]

judge dispose of property which was not his own.[1] The unit of property ownership was the family, and still is.

The clan was a military unit, and supplied companies of armed and mounted men to the armies of the khans and sultans. Each clan company fought under its own leader, and had its own distinctive rallying cry (in Turkic uran, from which "hurrah" is derived) which was usually a repetition of the names of illustrious leaders and warriors of the clan. These companies were used not only in wartime but in any time of trouble; they were called to help during feuds, for example, or in fending off thieves.

The clan supplied the khan with taxes in kind (usually livestock), in money, and in labor service. Taxes in money were not common, since money itself was a rarity on the steppe, and was to be found in the hands of traders and moneymen rather than among clansmen or clan leaders. The taxes paid by the clan to the khan were collected by the clan leader and paid for the group as a whole. Aside from livestock, the clan paid in labor service, reckoned in terms of transport duty and communications (messenger service). And the clan supplied able-bodied men in military companies under its own leaders, as required by the khan. The last century in which this system actually worked as a normal matter was the 18th century. By then the Kazakhs had been definitely divided into three hordes, and the khans ruled over each horde separately. By the 19th century, there were only two types of khans, those who came forward to unite their people against the Russians, such as Kenesary and Taimanov, and those who were maintained by the Russians, such as Khan Bukei of the Inner Horde, and his line.[2]

It is perhaps surprising to find a society in which the members are all theoretically related to each other by ties of blood, yet which is riven by class distinctions and by unequal distributions of ranks and privileges. Yet this is the case among many peoples of Central Asia, such as the Uzbeks and Kazakhs, and of Eastern Asia, such as the Mongols. The basis of social differentiation is the ranking of descent lines according to the order of birth of the founders. Once this differentiation is established, and the society is divided into aristocrats (by virtue of primogeniture) and commoners, more complex divisions follow. A leader or khan is then set up as the first among the aristocrats, and he forms a court of aides, ministers, viceroys, field commanders, priests, and so forth. The khan may ennoble a commoner as a reward for bravery in the field, or because he is literate and hence of exceptional utility to the state.

Theoretically, then, all Kazakhs are related to each other by ties of blood. This does not mean that they are all actually descendants of one founding ancestor, for such a figure lies so far in the past that it is necessarily mythical; the truth or falsity of the tradition can no longer be shown. In addition to the myth, however, the establishment of kinship is also achieved by another kind of fiction. Kinship may be based on adoption, whereby a son is regarded as though he were the

[1] Valikhanov, 1904, pp. 150 ff.; Radloff (104), 1893, pp. 513-514; Ilesginov, 1878, pp. 234-237.
[2] Ivanin, 1864, pp. 2-6; Abdykalykov and Pankratova, 1943, pp. 168-241.